

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

1. Contact Information

Name: Nancy Maxson

LEA Name: Ventura Unified School District

Cohort: E Year: 2011/12

County: Ventura Phone: 805-641-5000

Email: nancy.maxson@venturausd.org

2. Which of the programs listed below were implemented under this grant during the 2011/12 year?

Botvin's Life Skills Training

Keepin' It Real

Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program

Project Alert Yes

Project Northland Yes

Project SUCCESS

Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse) Yes

Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)

SPORT

State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program

3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

4. How many
2011/12 students

identified in question 2 during the

		Number of Participating Students
Grade 6	1,336	1,202
Grade 7	1,275	1,211
Grade 8	1,386	1,317
Grade 9	1,295	971
Grade 10	0	0
Grade 11	0	0
Grade 12	1,181	197
Non Traditional	216	185
Grade Total	6,689	5,083

5. Comments pertaining to question 4 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

6. Which of the non-research-validated curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2011/12 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	0
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	0

7. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.

Not applicable

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

8. Comments pertaining to question 6 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

9. Which of the non-curricula activities (e.g., Teens Kick Butts, Teens Kick Ash, poster contests etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2011/12 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Assembly Speaker	0	0
Great American Smoke Out	9,383	52
Mission Possible	0	0
Red Ribbon Week (Tobacco focus)	9,383	1,136
Schoolwide contests	157	100
Teens Kick Butts / Ash	9,383	2,925
Tobacco & Hollywood Campaign	0	0
Youth Quest	0	0

10. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

World No Tobacco Day:

Projected:9383

Participated: 1135

11. If you selected *School-wide contest* as a non-curricular activity, indicate the name of the contest:

classroom door decoration-messaging contest

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

12. If you selected *Assembly Speaker* as a non-curricula activity, indicate the name of the speaker:

Not applicable

13. Comments pertaining to question 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

14. Which TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities (e.g., STAKE Act “stings”, local community tobacco control ordinance development/enforcement, media literacy, media production to counter tobacco industry influence) were offered to students in the general population during the 2011/12 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Actual Students
Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	120	10
Youth Involvement in Anti-tobacco Advocacy	10	10
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	119	119
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	138	56
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	0	0

15. If a TUPE Specific Youth Development activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

Park Clean-up of Tobacco products: 60 youth projected and participated

Friday Night Live Youth Leadership Conference - 30 youth projected, 69 youth participated

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

16. Comments pertaining to question 14 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

17. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the youth development strategies listed below during the 2011/12 school year under this grant?

	Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	Anti- Tobacco Advocacy	Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	Peer Educator	Service- Learning Projects
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/ Latino	12	6	0	0	0
African American	2	2	0	0	0
White (non Hispanic)	5	12	0	0	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	4	3	0	0	0

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

19. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered during the 2011/12 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students, identified and served, under this grant.

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	0	0
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	0	0
Residential Student Assistance Program	0	0
Spit Tobacco Intervention	0	0
Tobacco-Free Generations	15	13

20. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of project and participating students.
-

21. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

22. Please indicate which Cessation Services were implemented. For each program offered provide the number of identified and served tobacco-using students.

Program/Strategy Name	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation - Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Stuff: A Guide to Quitting for Smokeless Tobacco	0	0
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	200	22
California Smoker's Helpline	348	293
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	0	0
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0

23. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified and the number of students served.

Not applicable

24. Comments pertaining to question 22 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

25. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served during the 2011/12 school year under this grant?

Category	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	53
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	48
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	28
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs	20

26. Comments pertaining to question 25 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

27. How many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the areas listed in the table below?

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
Trained to deliver program curriculum	0	12
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	3	15
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	0	0
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	0	0
General TUPE information	43	26

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

28. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.

Not applicable

29. Comments pertaining to question 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

30. How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2011/12 school year under this grant?

127

31. If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.
-

32. Comments pertaining to questions 30 and 31 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

33. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	Yes	No	no	Yes
Youth Development activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cessation activities	Yes	Yes	No	No
Intervention activities	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

34. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

Not applicable

35. Comments pertaining to question 33 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 2:

In addition, we use Too Good for Drugs for our 6th grade students.

Comments regarding question 4:

None

Comments regarding question 6:

None

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 9:

None

Comments regarding question 14:

None

Comments regarding question 17:

We do not have identifiers for the LGBTQ population, so we are not able to provide data. Our inclusionary recruitment goal is to work with our GSA clubs for future projects.

We did not kept demographic statistics of the students involved in various activities and can truly only estimate the numbers since most events were offered schoolwide.

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 19:

Individualized intervention strategies were utilized to provide intervention for identified tobacco users, due to the difficulty in identifying enough students at any particular time to form a group for group intervention.

Comments regarding question 22:

It is difficult to estimate how many students were served through California Smoker's Helpline as that is a self-initiated confidential program. Our numbers reflect the number of identified tobacco users that were provided with the resource.

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 25:

Two strategies were used with pregnant and parenting minors: 15 students participated in the Tobacco Free Generations program and an additional 13 students were individually counseled by school nurses trained in intervention and cessation strategies

Comments regarding question 27:

None

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 30 and 31:

It is difficult to estimate the number of parents that accessed TUPE information on our website, however we made presentations to several parent groups throughout the year.

Comments regarding question 33:

None