

1. Contact Information

Name: Maggie Sheppard

LEA Name: Siskiyou County Office of Education

Cohort: I Year: 2014/15

County: Siskiyou Phone: 530-842-8425

Email: mshepard@siskiyoucoe.net

2. Which of the research-validated or evidence-based programs listed below were implemented?

Botvin's Life Skills Training	No
Keepin' It Real	No
Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program	Yes
Project Alert	Yes
Project Northland	No
Project SUCCESS	No
Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)	Yes
Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)	No
SPORT	No
State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program	No

Cohort: I

3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

4. How many students per grade participated in the program/s identified in question 2?

Grade	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Grade 6	44	165
Grade 7	302	142
Grade 8	299	117
Grade 9	263	243
Grade 10		
Grade 11		
Grade 12		
Non Traditional		
Grade Total	908	667

Cohort: I

5. Comments pertaining to question 4 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

6. Which of the non-research-validated curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	8
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	312

7. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.

- 8. Comments pertaining to question 6 are located in the appendix. (Optional)
- 9. Which of the non-curricula activities (e.g., Teens Kick Butts, Teens Kick Ash, poster contests etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

	Number of	Number of
Program/Strategy Name	Projected Students	Participating Students
Great American Smoke Out	0	0
Red Ribbon Week (Tobacco focus)	0	93
Teens Kick Butts / Ash	0	0
School-Wide contest	0	18
Tobacco & Hollywood Campaign	0	0
Mission Possible	0	0
Youth Quest	0	0
Assembly Speaker	1,400	1,600
World No Tobacco Day	0	0

10. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

NIDA Sponsored National Institute on Drug Abuse Drug Facts Week (tobacco focus)

11. If you selected *School-wide contest* as a non-curricular activity, indicate the name of the contest:

Cohort: I

The Power of Nicotine brochure contest

12. If you selected *Assembly Speaker* as a non-curricula activity, indicate the name of the speaker:

Dennis Melem, Spit Tobacco Shannon Harding, Leon & Kallie Mahon For NIDA event

- 13. Comments pertaining to question 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)
- 14. Which TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities (e.g., STAKE Act "stings", local community tobacco control ordinance development/enforcement, media literacy, media production to counter tobacco industry influence) were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Actual Students
Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	0	0
Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	0	0
Youth Involvement in Anti-tobacco Advocacy	0	6
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	0	18
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	496	478
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	0	5

15. If a TUPE Specific Youth Development activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

- 16. Comments pertaining to question 14 are located in the appendix. (Optional)
- 17. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the youth development strategies listed below during?

	Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	Youth Involvement in Anti- Tobacco Advocacy	Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	Tobacco use prevention focused Service- Learning Projects
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	4	2	1
Asian	0	0	0	0	1	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/ Latino	0	0	0	0	10	0
African American	0	0	0	0	1	0

Cohort: I

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

19. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered? For each program offered provide the number of students, identified and served, under this grant.

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Brief Intervention	18	15
Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	38	28
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	15	15
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	0	0
Tobacco-Free Generations	0	0

20. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of project and participating students.

Cohort: I

21. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

22. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the intervention programs listed below?

	Brief Intervention	Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	Project Life	Smokeless Schooldays Smokeless Saturday School	Tobacco- Free Generations
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	2	1	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/ Latino	0	4	3	0	0	0
African American	0	3	0	0	0	0

Cohort: I

23. Comments pertaining to question 22 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

24. Which of the cessation services listed below were offered during the 2012/13 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students identified and served

Cessation Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	8	6
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	28	25
California Smoker's Helpline	0	30
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	0	0
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0

25. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified to be served and the number of students served in the space below. (*Please do not use acronyms*)

Cohort: I

26. Comments pertaining to question 24 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

27. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the cessation services listed below?

	Adolescent Smoking Cessation- Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	California Smoker's Helpline	Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	3	3	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/ Latino	0	1	3	3	0	0
African American	0	0	1	3	0	0

Cohort: I

28. Comments pertaining to question 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

29. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served?

Category	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	1
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	1
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	1
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community program	ns 0

- 30. Comments pertaining to question 29 are located in the appendix. (Optional)
- 31. How many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the areas listed below?

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
Trained to deliver program curriculum	0	3
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	1	0
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	2	0
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	0	0
General TUPE information	18	56

32.	If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.
33.	Comments pertaining to question 31 are located in the appendix. (Optional)
34.	How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2012/13 school year under this grant?
	307
35.	If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.
	In addition to general TUPE information, parents received information specific to vaping and ENDS (electronic nicotine delivery systems)
36.	Comments pertaining to questions 34 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

Cohort: I

37. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization	
Curriculum Implementation	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Youth Development activities	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	
Cessation activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Intervention activities	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	

38. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

39. Comments pertaining to question 37 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

Siskiyou County Office of Education

omments regarding question 2:	
omments regarding question 4:	
here are discrepancies in projected vs participating students for 6-8th grade due to the change from plementing Project Alert to using the MSPP in 6th grade.	m
omments regarding questions 6 -7:	
	_

Comments regarding questions 9-12:
Comments regarding questions 14-15:
We had 6 students from Mt Shasta High school designed and create a "mobile mural" and Facebook page promoting a healthy tobacco free lifestyle. They also hosted a community event at the Mt. Shasta Community Resource Center.
Comments regarding question 17:

Comments regarding questions 19-20:
Comments regarding question 22:
Comments regarding questions 24-25:
All students are referred to the California Smokers Helpline and I Quit text. All are also given a card with other phone ap cessation services and website.

Cohort: I

Comments regarding question 27:
Comments regarding question 29:
Comments regarding questions 31-32:
3 certificated staff were trained online in Project Alert. General TUPE information was provided at staff and superintendent meetings as well as at a one day workshop.

Cohort: I

Comments regarding questions 34-35: Comments regarding questions 37-38:
Comments regarding questions 37-38:
List of Districts in consortia
Butte Valley Unified, Butteville Union, Dunsmuir Elementary, Dunsmuir Union High, Grenada Elementary, McCloud Elementary, Montague Elementary, Mt. Shasta Union Elementary, Weed Elementary, ScottValley Unified, Siskiyou Union High, Siskiyou County Office of Education

Cohort: I