

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

1. Contact Information

Name: Maggie Shepard

LEA Name: Siskiyou County Office of Education

Cohort: F Year: 2012/13

County: Siskiyou Phone: 530-842-8417

Email: mshepard@siskiyoucoe.net

2. Which of the research-validated or evidence-based programs listed below were implemented?

Botvin's Life Skills Training	No
Keepin' It Real	No
Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program	Yes
Project Alert	Yes
Project Northland	No
Project SUCCESS	No
Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)	Yes
Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)	No
SPORT	No
State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program	No

3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

4. How many students per grade participated in the program/s identified in question 2?

Grade	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Grade 6	56	204
Grade 7	376	186
Grade 8	478	276
Grade 9	270	247
Grade 10	0	7
Grade 11	0	3
Grade 12	0	2
Non Traditional	45	47
Grade Total	1,225	972

5. Comments pertaining to question 4 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

6. Which of the non-research-validated curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	0
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	31

7. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.

Pack It Up: 309

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

8. Comments pertaining to question 6 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

9. Which of the non-curricula activities (e.g., Teens Kick Butts, Teens Kick Ash, poster contests etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Great American Smoke Out	0	0
Red Ribbon Week (Tobacco focus)	0	226
Teens Kick Butts / Ash	0	0
Schoolwide contests	0	100
Tobacco & Hollywood Campaign	0	0
Mission Possible	0	0
Youth Quest	0	0
Assembly Speaker	350	1,309

10. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

512 students heard the presentation, "Gray Matters: Drugs, Addiction and the Teenage Brain" which focuses on the effects on the brain of addictive substances, including tobacco.

11. If you selected *School-wide contest* as a non-curricular activity, indicate the name of the contest:

It was a t-shirt contest that was informally done at one site and was simply named "anti-tobacco t-shirt contest"

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

12. If you selected *Assembly Speaker* as a non-curricula activity, indicate the name of the speaker:

Dennis Melum

13. Comments pertaining to question 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

14. Which TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities (e.g., STAKE Act “stings”, local community tobacco control ordinance development/enforcement, media literacy, media production to counter tobacco industry influence) were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Actual Students
Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	0	0
Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	0	0
Youth Involvement in Anti-tobacco Advocacy	0	24
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	0	21
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	300	309
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	0	0

15. If a TUPE Specific Youth Development activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

none

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

16. Comments pertaining to question 14 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

17. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the youth development strategies listed below during?

	Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	Youth Involvement in Anti- Tobacco Advocacy	Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	2	0
Asian	0	0	0	1	1	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	0	2	3	0
African American	0	0	0	2	2	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	1	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	0	12	16	0

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

19. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered? For each program offered provide the number of students, identified and served, under this grant.

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	70	30
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	30	10
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	0	0
Tobacco-Free Generations	1	1

20. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of project and participating students.

none

21. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

22. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the intervention programs listed below?

	Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	Project Life	Smokeless Schooldays Smokeless Saturday School	Tobacco- Free Generations
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	2	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/ Latino	4	3	0	0	0
African American	3	0	0	0	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	2	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	18	15	0	0	1

23. Comments pertaining to question 22 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

24. Which of the cessation services listed below were offered during the 2012/13 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students identified and served

Cessation Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	10	4
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	31	25
California Smoker's Helpline	31	31
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	0	0
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0

25. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified to be served and the number of students served in the space below. *(Please do not use acronyms)*

Some components of "Brief Intervention for Substance Using Adolescents" were used with 20 of those participating in TAP.

26. Comments pertaining to question 24 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

27. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the cessation services listed below?

	Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	California Smoker's Helpline	Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	3	4	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	1	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	3	4	0	0
African American	0	0	2	3	0	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	3	8	12	0	0

28. Comments pertaining to question 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

29. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served?

Category	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	1
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	1
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	0
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs	1

30. Comments pertaining to question 29 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

31. How many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the areas listed below?

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
Trained to deliver program curriculum	1	4
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	1	0
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	1	0
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	1	0
General TUPE information	21	35

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

32. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.

none

33. Comments pertaining to question 31 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

34. How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2012/13 school year under this grant?

1,050

35. If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.

A presentation (Gray Matters) re: the effects of addictive drugs (including tobacco) was made by the TUPE coordinator at every Family Resource Center in the county during the year, estimating to have reached 112 parents.

36. Comments pertaining to questions 34 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

37. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	Yes	No	No	No
Youth Development activities	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Cessation activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Intervention activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

38. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

none

39. Comments pertaining to question 37 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 2:

none

Comments regarding question 4:

There were difficulties in implementing Project Alert at several sites due to staffing changes/issues, and logistical challenges. With approval from our grant consultant, the MSPP was taught at the 6th grade level to fulfill the requirement for a research-validated curriculum. This resulted in a smaller number of students receiving Project Alert and a much larger number participating in the MSPP.

Comments regarding question 6:

none

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 9:

none

Comments regarding question 14:

none

Comments regarding question 17:

none

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 19:

none

Comments regarding question 22:

none

Comments regarding question 24:

none

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 27:

none

Comments regarding question 29:

none

Comments regarding question 31:

none

2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 34:

The response to question 34 is a gross estimate- basically all parents in the county received general TUPE information at some point during the year: newspaper articles, parent newsletters, letters from administrators outlining TUPE policy, etc.

Comments regarding question 37:

none