



2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

1. Contact Information

Name: Anna Power

LEA Name: Siskiyou County Office of Education

Cohort: I

Year:

County: Siskiyou

Phone: 530-842-8417

Email: apower@siskiyoucoe.net

2. Which of the research-validated or evidence-based programs listed below were implemented?

Botvin's Life Skills Training	n/a
Keepin' It Real	n/a
Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program	25
Project Alert	85
Project Northland	n/a
Project SUCCESS	n/a
Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)	55
Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)	n/a
SPORT	n/a
State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program	n/a

3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

Less students received curriculum than projected this year due to the delayed hiring of a TUPE Coordinator this year.

2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

4. Which other curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2015/16 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	4
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	30

5. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.

2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

6. Comments pertaining to question 4 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

7. Which of the non-curricula activities listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2015/16 school year?

Non-Curricula Activities	Number of Projected Students
Assembly Presentations	170
Law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors (i.e., Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act or others)	0
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	0
Youth Involvement in Anti-Tobacco Advocacy	0
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	430
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	0

8. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

9. If you selected *Assembly Presentation* as an activity, please specify the names of the speaker(s) and a brief description of the presentation in the space below:

YMCA staff presented the AprilAge Software and activity and Anna Power provided tobacco information at games as the Tobacco portion of a Relay Recess event.

2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

10. Comments pertaining to question 7 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

11. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered during the 2015/16 school year?

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Brief Intervention	9	7
Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	27	24
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	3	3
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	0	0
Tobacco-Free Generations	0	0

12. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of projected and participating students.

13. Comments pertaining to question 11 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

14. Which of the cessation services listed below were offered during the 2015/16 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students identified and served

Cessation Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	5	3
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	4	2
California Smoker's Helpline	0	0
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	0	0
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0

15. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified to be served and the number of students served in the space below. *(Please do not use acronyms)*

16. Comments pertaining to question 14 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

17. Indicate which programs were provided to students in the grades listed below

	Research-Validated or Evidence-based curricula-based programs	Other Curricula-based programs	Non-curricula activities	Intervention programs	Cessation programs
6th Grade	x	x	x	0	x
7th Grade	x	0	x	0	0
8th Grade	0	0	x	x	0
9th Grade	x	0	x	0	0
10th Grade	0	x	x	x	x
11th Grade	x	0	x	0	0
12th Grade	0	x	x	0	x
Non Traditional	x	x	x	x	x

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

19. Indicate which programs were specifically targeted to students in the priority populations listed below (i.e.; a conscientious decision was made to select or tailor programs to impact priority populations). ?

	Research-Validated or evidence-based curricula-based programs	Other Curricula- based programs	Non-curricula activities	Intervention programs	Cessation programs
African American	0	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	x	0	0	0	x
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	x	0	0	0	x

20. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

21. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served during the 2015 /16 school year under this grant?

	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	1
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	0
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	1
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs	0

22. Comments pertaining to question 21 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

23. How many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the areas listed below?

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
Trained to deliver program curriculum	1	0
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	1	0
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	4	5
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	1	0
General TUPE information	6	48

24. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.

1 classified staff trained in Motivational Interviewing via a UCLA seminar held in Siskiyou County for behavioral health professionals.

2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

25. Comments pertaining to question 23 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

26. How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2012/13 school year under this grant? 850

27. If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.

Parents also received CHKS data, ENDS info and general tobacco and drug prevention tips via our 'Helping Youth Succeed' publication.

28. Comments pertaining to questions 26 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

29. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	Yes	No	No	No
Youth Development activities	No	No	No	No
Cessation activities	Yes	No	No	No
Intervention activities	Yes	No	No	No
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

30. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

31. Comments pertaining to question 29 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 4:

Comments regarding questions 5:

Comments regarding questions 7, 8 & 9:

Less non-curricula activities were offered this year due to a reduction in staffing and delay in hiring of replacement staff.

Comments regarding questions 11 & 12:

2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding questions 14 & 15:

SCOE provides direct cessation services for all consortium districts. However, each student is also given a 1-800-NO-BUTTS card during cessation classes in case they want further support once the direct cessation is completed.

Comments regarding questions 17:

Comments regarding questions 19:

Comments regarding questions 21:

2015/16 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding questions 23 & 24:

Trainings attended this year included Project TND, TAP, CIM, Brief Intervention and a CYAN Youth Development workshop.

Comments regarding questions 26 & 27:

Comments regarding questions 29 & 30:

If you are the lead agency for a consortium, please list in the space provided below which districts in your consortium contributed to this report.

Siskiyou County Office of Education is the lead agency. Consortium Contributors: Big Springs Elementary, Butte Valley Unified, Butteville Elementary, Dunsmuir Elementary, Dunsmuir High, Grenada Elementary, Happy Camp Elementary, Hornbrook Elementary, McCloud Elementary, Montague Elementary, Mt. Shasta Elementary, Scott Valley Unified, Siskiyou Union High, Weed Elementary, Willow Creek Elementary and Yreka Union Elementary.