1. Contact Information

Name: Scott Gerbert

LEA Name: San Ramon Valley Unified School District

Cohort: E Year: 2011/12

County: Contra Costa Phone: 925-552-5030

Email: sgerber@srvusd.net

2. Which of the programs listed below were implemented under this grant during the 2011/12 year?

Botvin's Life Skills Training

Keepin' It Real

Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program

Project Alert

Project Northland

Project SUCCESS

Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)

Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)

SPORT

State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program

3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

4. How m 2011/12 s

identified in question 2 during the

		Number of Participating Students
Grade 6	1,900	2,214
Grade 7	0	0
Grade 8	0	0
Grade 9	1,900	2,304
Grade 10	0	0
Grade 11	0	0
Grade 12	0	0
Non Traditional	0	0
Grade Total	3,800	4,518

Cohort: E

5. Comments pertaining to question 4 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

6. Which of the non-research-validated curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2011/12 school year?

Number of

Program/Strategy Name	Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	0
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	0

7. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.

Cohort: E

None.

Q	Comments	nertaining to	question 6 a	re located in	the appendix.	(Ontional)
٥.	Comments	pertaining to	question o a	ie iocated in	me appendix.	(Optional)

9. Which of the non-curricula activities (e.g., Teens Kick Butts, Teens Kick Ash, poster contests etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2011/12 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Assembly Speaker	0	0
Great American Smoke Out	4,000	2,500
Mission Possible	0	0
Red Ribbon Week (Tobacco focus)	5,000	5,000
Schoolwide contests	0	0
Teens Kick Butts / Ash	4,000	2,500
Tobacco & Hollywood Campaign	0	0
Youth Quest	0	0

10. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

None

11. If you selected *School-wide contest* as a non-curricular activity, indicate the name of the contest:

Cohort: E

None

12. If you selected *Assembly Speaker* as a non-curricula activity, indicate the name of the speaker:

None

- 13. Comments pertaining to question 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)
- 14. Which TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities (e.g., STAKE Act "stings", local community tobacco control ordinance development/enforcement, media literacy, media production to counter tobacco industry influence) were offered to students in the general population during the 2011/12 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Actual Students
Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	0	0
Youth Involvement in Anti-tobacco Advocacy	30	250
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	0	0
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	100	120
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	0	0

15. If a TUPE Specific Youth Development activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

Youth-to-Youth Conference. 100+ high school students "staffed" for an all-day (8am-10pm) youth development conference for 350+ middle school students. It is promoted as the School District's TUPE and Youth Development conference. In addition, the "She's All That" conference, targeting middle school aged girls, served 300+ middle school girls.

Cohort: E

San Ramon Valley Unified School District

- 16. Comments pertaining to question 14 are located in the appendix. (Optional)
- 17. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the youth development strategies listed below during the 2011/12 school year under this grant?

	Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	Anti- Tobacco Advocacy	Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	Peer Educator	Service- Learning Projects
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	2	0	2	0
Asian	0	106	0	19	0
Pacific Islander	0	6	0	2	0
Hispanic/ Latino	0	14	0	1	0
African American	0	4	0	2	0
White (non Hispanic)	0	94	0	21	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	0	0	0

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

San Ramon Valley Unified School District

19. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered during the 2011/12 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students, identified and served, under this grant.

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	0	0
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	92	90
Residential Student Assistance Program	0	0
Spit Tobacco Intervention	0	0
Tobacco-Free Generations	0	0

20. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of project and participating students.

Cohort: E

None

21. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

22. Please indicate which Cessation Services were implemented. For each program offered provide the number of identified and served tobacco-using students.

Program/Strategy Name	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation - Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Stuff: A Guide to Quitting for Smokeless Tobacco	0	0
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	27	19
California Smoker's Helpline	0	0
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	0	0
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0

23. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified and the number of students served.

Cohort: E

None

24. Comments pertaining to question 22 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

25. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served during the 2011/12 school year under this grant?

Category	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	0
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	0
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	0
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community program	ms 0

- 26. Comments pertaining to question 25 are located in the appendix. (Optional)
- 27. How many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the areas listed in the table below?

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
Trained to deliver program curriculum	0	0
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	0	6
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	0	6
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	0	0
General TUPE information	0	6

28. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.				
	None			
29.	Comments pertaining to question 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)			
30.	How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2011/12 school year under this grant? 118,247			
31.	If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity. None			
32.	Comments pertaining to questions 30 and 31 are located in the appendix. (Optional)			

33. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	Yes	No	No	No
Youth Development activities	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Cessation activities	Yes	No	No	Yes
Intervention activities	Yes	No	No	Yes
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

34. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

Cohort: E

Not applicable

35. Comments pertaining to question 33 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

Comments regarding question 2:
As per our original grant application, we are continuing to use the Too Good for Drugs curriculum. Our data indicates that it is effective with our student population. It is likely that we will pilot a different curriculum with some of our staff/students in 2012-13.
Comments regarding question 4:
Our classes at these grade levels have grown.
Comments regarding question 6:
None

Comments regarding question 9:
None
Comments regarding question 14:
Our numbers regarding youth advocacy was very high this year, and will be in 2012-13, as the local cities are examining both second-hand smoke protections (policies and ordinances), as well as retailer licensing. Our students have attended trainings, met with City/Town staff, and presented at local Council meetings.
Comments regarding question 17:
Students in our school district are invited to participate in any/all programs. However, when they register to participate in the advocacy and peer education work, we do not specifically ask questions about sexual orientation and/or socio economic status. I would be interested to hear how other LEAs are able to track this information.

Cohort: E

San Ramon Valley Unified School District

Comments	regarding	auestion	19.
Committe	105ai aiii 5	question	1).

Two students, and their families, opted out of our TEG services.

Comments regarding question 22:

Some students were offered TAP, but chose not to participate. As we reached the end of the school year, three of the students who opted out of the program saw the successes of their peers who had participated and requested spaces in our fall TAP.

Comments regarding question 25:
None
Comments regarding question 27:
A team participated in a local training.

Comments regarding question 30 and 31:
All parents are notified about TUPE programs and services through our district web site and newsletters.
Comments regarding question 33:
None