



2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

1. Contact Information

Name: Ryan Crowdis

LEA Name: Orange County Department of Education

Cohort: J Year:

County: Orange Phone: (714)966-4451

Email: RCrowdis@ocde.us

2. Which of the research-validated or evidence-based programs listed below were implemented?

Botvin's Life Skills Training	4112
Keepin' It Real	0
Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program	0
Project Alert	8743
Project Northland	0
Project SUCCESS	0
Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)	1696
Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)	0
SPORT	0
State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program	0

3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

4. Which other curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2016/17 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	0
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	0

5. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.

The Orange County Department of Education TUPE Consortium did not use any of the non-research validated curriculum based programs listed above.

2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

6. Comments pertaining to question 4 & 5 are located in the appendix. (Optional)
7. Which of the non-curricula activities listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2016/17 school year?

Non-Curricula Activities	Number of Projected Students
Assembly Presentations	880
Law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors (i.e., Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act or others)	
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	825
Youth Involvement in Anti-Tobacco Advocacy	718
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	565
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	1,685

8. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

All youth development activities provided fit in the categories above.

9. If you selected *Assembly Presentation* as an activity, please specify the names of the speaker(s) and a brief description of the presentation in the space below:

Dr. Victor DeNoble, Orange County Lost Chords Club

2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

10. Comments pertaining to question 7, 8 & 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

11. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered during the 2016/17 school year?

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Brief Intervention	126	117
Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	0	0
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	0	0
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	0	0
Tobacco-Free Generations	0	0

12. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of projected and participating students.

13. Comments pertaining to question 11& 12 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

14. Which of the cessation services listed below were offered during the 2016/17 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students identified and served under this grant.

Cessation Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	0	0
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	0	0
California Smoker's Helpline	0	0
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	0	0
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0

15. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified to be served and the number of students served in the space below. *(Please do not use acronyms)*

The Orange County Department of Education TUPE Consortium partners with the Orange County Health Care Agency's Tobacco Use Prevention Program (TUPP) for cessation services. TUPP offers two types of cessation classes; a 90 minute cessation seminar and a five session cessation series. 126 students were in violation of district anti-tobacco policies and referred to cessation services, 3 were served.

16. Comments pertaining to question 14 & 15 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

17. Indicate which programs were provided to students in the grades listed below

	Research-Validated or Evidence-based curricula-based programs	Other Curricula-based programs	Non-curricula activities	Intervention programs	Cessation programs
6th Grade	x		x	x	x
7th Grade	x		x	x	x
8th Grade	x		x	x	x
9th Grade	x		x	x	x
10th Grade	x		x	x	x
11th Grade			x	x	x
12th Grade			x	x	x
Non Traditional	x		x	x	x

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

19. Indicate which programs were specifically targeted to students in the priority populations listed below (i.e.; a conscientious decision was made to select or tailor programs to impact priority populations). Enter an “x” in the appropriate boxes below for the school year.

	Research-Validated or evidence-based curricula-based programs	Other Curricula- based programs	Non-curricula activities	Intervention programs	Cessation programs
African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Pacific Islander					
Hispanic/Latino					

20. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

21. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served during the 2016/17 school year under this grant?

	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	84
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	84
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	84
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs	84

22. Please use the space below to comment on your answers to question 21. *(Optional)*

23. Report the total number of classified and/or certificated staff trained in one or more of the areas listed in the table below. Then identify how many individuals received each type of training.

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
TOTAL persons receiving any kind of training related to the TUPE program	0	76
Trained to deliver program curriculum	0	5
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	0	25
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	0	0
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	0	0
General TUPE information	0	45

24. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.

•Curriculum trainings were for the Project ALERT and Project TND curriculums •Youth development - Developmental Asset Framework workshop and e-cigarette workshops at consortium meetings • General TUPE information - trainings at consortium meetings • No intervention trainings occurred this year • cessation services are referred out to the Orange County Health Care Agencies tobacco Use Prevention Program.

2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

25. Comments pertaining to question 23 & 24 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

26. How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2016/17 school year under this grant? 32,000

27. If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.

28. Comments pertaining to questions 26 & 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

29. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	Yes	Yes	No	No
Youth Development activities	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Cessation activities	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Intervention activities	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

30. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

•Curriculum implementation - Schools provided all curricula for their students. Cessation services offered through local Health Care Agency are discussed during curriculum presentations. •Youth Development activities - Were performed mostly by the participating school districts. Some Districts partnered with the Program Coordinator, local non-profit organizations, local universities, or the local public health care agency to provide on campus information to students and parents. •Cessation and intervention activities - Schools partner with the local public health agency who provides their model cessation and intervention programs. Most school districts provide Brief Intervention and then refer students to cessation services. •The Orange County Tobacco Education Coalition meets bi-monthly to plan activities and distribute resources for tobacco prevention. The OCDE TUPE Consortium Project Coordinator attends coalition meetings and shares resources with participating districts.

31. Comments pertaining to question 29 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 4:

Comments regarding questions 5:

Comments regarding questions 7, 8 & 9:

Comments regarding questions 11 & 12:

2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding questions 14 & 15:

Many of the schools are adopting the Brief Intervention Strategy which they implement on their campuses as their main tobacco intervention. Students who are at risk of tobacco use (found in violation of district's anti-tobacco policy) are given referrals for cessation services through the Tobacco Use Prevention Program (TUPP).

Comments regarding questions 17:

Comments regarding questions 19:

The Orange County Department of Education TUPE Consortium approved grant proposal did not include tracking priority populations participating in intervention strategies using the demographic data above.

Comments regarding questions 21:

Students who are identified as parenting or pregnant minors are given materials about the consequences of tobacco use (e.g. tobacco and electronic cigarettes, second and third-hand smoke and its effect on child development, and how to access cessation services). All Orange County Department of Education TUPE Consortium sites have anti-tobacco & cessation materials available in their front offices and displayed at various locations on campuses.

2016/17 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding questions 23 & 24:

Comments regarding questions 26 & 27:

All seven participating districts use different forms of communication to parents including; e-mail, phone announcements, brochures available in front

offices of schools, posters displayed in front offices of schools, home mailers, and verbal communication from school staff to parents. Although it is difficult to predict, an estimate can be made that a parent from the household of every student receiving the tobacco prevention curriculum received

Comments regarding questions 29 & 30:

If you are the lead agency for a consortium, please list in the space provided below which districts in your consortium contributed to this report.