

# 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

## 1. Contact Information

Name: Glenn Dodd  
LEA Name: Los Angeles County Office of Education  
Cohort: H                      Year: 2013/14  
County: Los Angeles        Phone: 562-922-8963  
Email: dodd\_glenn@lacoedu

## 2. Which of the research-validated or evidence-based programs listed below were implemented?

Botvin's Life Skills Training	Yes
Keepin' It Real	No
Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program	No
Project Alert	No
Project Northland	No
Project SUCCESS	No
Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)	Yes
Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)	No
SPORT	No
State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program	No

## 3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

# 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

4. How many students per grade participated in the program/s identified in question 2?

Grade	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Grade 6	650	160
Grade 7	650	138
Grade 8	650	135
Grade 9	1,150	399
Grade 10	0	0
Grade 11	0	0
Grade 12	0	0
Non Traditional	0	7
Grade Total	3,100	839

5. Comments pertaining to question 4 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

6. Which of the non-research-validated curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	0
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	0

7. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.
-

# 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

8. Comments pertaining to question 6 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

9. Which of the non-curricula activities (e.g., Teens Kick Butts, Teens Kick Ash, poster contests etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Great American Smoke Out	0	0
Red Ribbon Week (Tobacco focus)	0	0
Teens Kick Butts / Ash	0	0
School-Wide contest	0	78
Tobacco & Hollywood Campaign	0	0
Mission Possible	0	0
Youth Quest	0	0
Assembly Speaker	0	0

10. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

---

Recipient of Anti-tobacco Peer Mentorship 0/1179  
Viewing student developed anti-tobacco videos 0/4113

11. If you selected *School-wide contest* as a non-curricular activity, indicate the name of the contest:

---

T-shirt designed and poster contests

## 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

12. If you selected *Assembly Speaker* as a non-curricula activity, indicate the name of the speaker:

---

13. Comments pertaining to question 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

14. Which TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities (e.g., STAKE Act “stings”, local community tobacco control ordinance development/enforcement, media literacy, media production to counter tobacco industry influence) were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Actual Students
Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	30	0
Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	0	0
Youth Involvement in Anti-tobacco Advocacy	500	969
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	130	199
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	350	260
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	0	0

15. If a TUPE Specific Youth Development activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

---

12 student provided leadership in planning and implementing a Teen Summit that addressed tobacco use. 95 students participated in the Teen Summit.

# 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

16. Comments pertaining to question 14 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

17. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the youth development strategies listed below during?

	Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	Youth Involvement in Anti- Tobacco Advocacy	Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	11	0	0
Pacific Islander		0	0	1	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	393	120	12	0
African American	0	0	25	15	13	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	840	165	25	0

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

19. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered? For each program offered provide the number of students, identified and served, under this grant.

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	0	0
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	0	0
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	0	0
Tobacco-Free Generations	0	0

20. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of project and participating students.

---

Ask, Advise, Refer Tobacco intervention protocol (developed with Los Angeles County Department of Public Health) was implemented with 253 students 130 projected.

21. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

22. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the intervention programs listed below?

	Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	Project Life	Smokeless Schooldays Smokeless Saturday School	Tobacco- Free Generations
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/ Latino	0	0	0	0	0
African American	0	0	0	0	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	0	0	0

23. Comments pertaining to question 22 are located in the appendix. (Optional)



## 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

24. Which of the cessation services listed below were offered during the 2012/13 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students identified and served

Cessation Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	0	0
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	0	0
California Smoker's Helpline	197	197
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	18	18
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0

25. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified to be served and the number of students served in the space below. *(Please do not use acronyms)*

Tobacco use relapse prevention counseling was provided to 31 students

26. Comments pertaining to question 24 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

# 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

27. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the cessation services listed below?

	Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	California Smoker's Helpline	Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	0	47	6	0
African American	0	0	0	3	0	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	0	77	10	0

28. Comments pertaining to question 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

# 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

29. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served?

Category	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	6
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	6
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	6
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs	6

30. Comments pertaining to question 29 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

31. How many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the areas listed below?

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
Trained to deliver program curriculum	0	14
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	0	4
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	0	5
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	0	0
General TUPE information	0	0

# 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

32. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.
- 

33. Comments pertaining to question 31 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

34. How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2012/13 school year under this grant?

0

35. If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.
- 

36. Comments pertaining to questions 34 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

37. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	No	No	No	No
Youth Development activities	No	No	No	No
Cessation activities	No	No	No	No
Intervention activities	No	No	No	No
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	No	Yes	No	Yes

38. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

---

39. Comments pertaining to question 37 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

# 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 2:

---

Comments regarding question 4:

---

Many 9<sup>th</sup> grade students projected to participate in Project TND lessons did not do so because they took the required health class during summer school where scheduling prohibited presenting the curriculum according to Fidelity Guidelines.

Comments regarding question 6:

---

# 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 9:

---

**In the TUPE Tier 2 Grant Application Project Matrix, “Opportunities to participate” were not disaggregated by topic. 2,000 students were projected to participate, 4,100 non- duplicated students did participate.**

Comments regarding question 14:

---

**\*40 students from Glendora High School applied to participate in STAKE but were denied the opportunity by the STAKE Act contractor. \*\*Anti-tobacco advocacy was project-based.**

Comments regarding question 17:

---

LGBTQ data was not provided by any of the consortium members.

# 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 19:

---

Comments regarding question 22:

---

\*Demographic data for Ask, Advise, Refer is Hispanic/Latino 77, African American 12, Low Socio-Economic Status 110

Comments regarding question 24:

---



# 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 27:

---

Comments regarding question 29:

---

**\*Students were referred to Pasadena Department of Public Health, the Local Lead Agency for the City of Pasadena, not Los Angeles County Department Public Health.**

Comments regarding question 31:

---

# 2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 34:

---

Comments regarding question 37:

---

**Representatives of Los Angeles County Department of Public Health and the Coalition for a Tobacco-Free Los Angeles County are members of the Consortium Advisory Committee.**