

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

1. Contact Information

Name: Nancy Eagan

LEA Name: Jefferson Union High School District

Cohort: F Year: 2013/14

County: San Mateo Phone:

Email: n_eagan@yahoo.com

2. Which of the research-validated or evidence-based programs listed below were implemented?

Botvin's Life Skills Training	No
Keepin' It Real	No
Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program	No
Project Alert	No
Project Northland	No
Project SUCCESS	No
Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)	Yes
Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)	No
SPORT	No
State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program	No

3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

4. How many students per grade participated in the program/s identified in question 2?

Grade	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Grade 6	0	0
Grade 7	0	0
Grade 8	0	0
Grade 9	1,153	1,011
Grade 10	0	0
Grade 11	0	0
Grade 12	0	0
Non Traditional	0	0
Grade Total	1,153	1,011

5. Comments pertaining to question 4 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

6. Which of the non-research-validated curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	0
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	910

7. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.
-

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

8. Comments pertaining to question 6 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

9. Which of the non-curricula activities (e.g., Teens Kick Butts, Teens Kick Ash, poster contests etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Great American Smoke Out	500	375
Red Ribbon Week (Tobacco focus)	500	625
Teens Kick Butts / Ash	0	0
School-Wide contest	0	0
Tobacco & Hollywood Campaign	0	0
Mission Possible	0	0
Youth Quest	5	0
Assembly Speaker	0	0

10. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

11. If you selected *School-wide contest* as a non-curricular activity, indicate the name of the contest:

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

12. If you selected *Assembly Speaker* as a non-curricula activity, indicate the name of the speaker:

13. Comments pertaining to question 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

14. Which TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities (e.g., STAKE Act “stings”, local community tobacco control ordinance development/enforcement, media literacy, media production to counter tobacco industry influence) were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Actual Students
Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	25	15
Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	0	0
Youth Involvement in Anti-tobacco Advocacy	50	40
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	0	0
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	16	16
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	0	0

15. If a TUPE Specific Youth Development activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

16. Comments pertaining to question 14 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

17. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the youth development strategies listed below during?

	Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	Youth Involvement in Anti- Tobacco Advocacy	Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	0	1	0
Asian	3	0	5	0	5	0
Pacific Islander	2	0	2	0	2	0
Hispanic/Latino	4	0	2	0	3	0
African American	3	0	1	0	2	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	2	0	1	0	2	0
Low Socio Economic Status	1	0	1	0	3	0

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

19. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered? For each program offered provide the number of students, identified and served, under this grant.

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	0	0
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	0	0
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	0	0
Tobacco-Free Generations	0	0

20. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of project and participating students.

Not on Tobacco (N-O-T) Alternative to Suspension after school program

21. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

22. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the intervention programs listed below?

	Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	Project Life	Smokeless Schooldays Smokeless Saturday School	Tobacco- Free Generations
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/ Latino	0	0	0	0	0
African American	0	0	0	0	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	0	0	0

23. Comments pertaining to question 22 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

24. Which of the cessation services listed below were offered during the 2012/13 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students identified and served

Cessation Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	0	0
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	0	0
California Smoker's Helpline	50	5
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	0	0
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	50	27

25. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified to be served and the number of students served in the space below. *(Please do not use acronyms)*

26. Comments pertaining to question 24 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

27. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the cessation services listed below?

	Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	California Smoker's Helpline	Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	2
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	4
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	9
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	0	0	0	13
African American	0	0	0	0	0	8
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	3
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	0	0	0	4

28. Comments pertaining to question 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

29. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served?

Category	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	0
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	0
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	0
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs	0

30. Comments pertaining to question 29 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

31. How many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the areas listed below?

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
Trained to deliver program curriculum	1	3
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	1	0
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	1	3
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	1	0
General TUPE information	1	7

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

32. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.
-

33. Comments pertaining to question 31 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

34. How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2012/13 school year under this grant?

7,297

35. If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.

In the summer mailing for 2013-14 school year, all parents received general TUPE information embedded in the comprehensive school policy document: # 4,905.

In addition to policy document, an informational letter about the Calif. Healthy Kids Survey was sent to each parent/guardian having ≥ 1 9th, 11th or non-traditional student: # 2,392

36. Comments pertaining to questions 34 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

37. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	No	No	No	No
Youth Development activities	Yes	No	No	Yes
Cessation activities	No	No	No	Yes
Intervention activities	No	No	No	Yes
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

38. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

39. Comments pertaining to question 37 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 2:

Project TND was implemented in 2010-11 school year, the first TUPE grant year in funding cycle ended 6/30/14.

Comments regarding question 4:

Project TND high school is designed for implementation in one grade. Developmentally, 9th grade is the most appropriate and per our teachers who piloted lessons when 2010-13 TUPE grant application was being created. No booster lessons available for other grades.

Comments regarding question 6:

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 9:

Comments regarding question 14:

Comments regarding question 17:

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 19:

District has used this curriculum along with N-O-T since 1999 with documented success and effectiveness each year, including each of the four years of the current grant.

Comments regarding question 22:

Alternative to Suspension participants who chose to answer demographic questions: 5 Pacific Islander
4 African American
2 Hispanic/Latino
2 White 1 Asian

Comments regarding question 24:

Identified tobacco users choosing not to participate for personal reasons or could not miss class were seen one-on-one.

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 27:

Comments regarding question 29:

Daly City Youth Health Center, a program that operates within the district (funded by San Mateo County) has a mandatory weekly counseling group for parenting/pregnant minors. The counseling group is facilitated by the Independent Study teacher housed at the district office. TUPE was not made aware of any tobacco using parenting/pregnant minors 2013-14.

Comments regarding question 31:

The new TUPE site Coordinator, classified (Jefferson, Thornton) graduate intern in San Francisco State's School Psychology program, was trained by the long-time site Coordinator in Pacifica district schools. Three new Health teachers were trained to deliver Project Towards No Drug Abuse by the in-house trainer. 3 new site administrators trained on citations/policy enforcement and non-punitive referral/protocol system; 7 Health teachers in general TUPE information.

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 34:

District TUPE established and maintained good rapport with the four PTA/PTSA/PTO organizations: In general meetings fall semester parents received brief presentations about program services and progress (#70). TUPE provided detailed, research-based information about emerging tobacco products in which parents were very interested. Electronic communications ensued throughout the year with two presidents.

Comments regarding question 37:
