

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

1. Contact Information

Name: Valerie Velez

LEA Name: Hemet Unified

Cohort: E Year: 2013/14

County: Riverside Phone: 951-765-5100 x3210

Email: vvelez@hemetusd.org

2. Which of the research-validated or evidence-based programs listed below were implemented?

Botvin's Life Skills Training	Yes
Keepin' It Real	No
Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program	Yes
Project Alert	No
Project Northland	No
Project SUCCESS	No
Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)	Yes
Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)	No
SPORT	No
State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program	No

3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

4. How many students per grade participated in the program/s identified in question 2?

Grade	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Grade 6	1,431	1,357
Grade 7	1,434	1,381
Grade 8	1,437	1,392
Grade 9	1,512	1,575
Grade 10	0	0
Grade 11	0	0
Grade 12	0	0
Non Traditional	365	294
Grade Total	6,179	5,999

5. Comments pertaining to question 4 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

6. Which of the non-research-validated curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	960
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	0
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	0

7. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.
-

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

8. Comments pertaining to question 6 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

9. Which of the non-curricula activities (e.g., Teens Kick Butts, Teens Kick Ash, poster contests etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Great American Smoke Out	10,895	10,269
Red Ribbon Week (Tobacco focus)	10,895	10,269
Teens Kick Butts / Ash	500	350
School-Wide contest	1,300	800
Tobacco & Hollywood Campaign	0	0
Mission Possible	0	0
Youth Quest	0	0
Assembly Speaker	1,500	2,181

10. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

11. If you selected *School-wide contest* as a non-curricular activity, indicate the name of the contest:

Riverside County Drug Prevention Poster Contest includes tobacco, e-cigarette essay contests.

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

12. If you selected *Assembly Speaker* as a non-curricula activity, indicate the name of the speaker:

Fancina Kelly, TUPE Program Specialist

13. Comments pertaining to question 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

14. Which TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities (e.g., STAKE Act “stings”, local community tobacco control ordinance development/enforcement, media literacy, media production to counter tobacco industry influence) were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Actual Students
Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	10	0
Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	0	0
Youth Involvement in Anti-tobacco Advocacy	35	35
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	0	960
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	50	104
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	0	0

15. If a TUPE Specific Youth Development activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.
-

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

16. Comments pertaining to question 14 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

17. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the youth development strategies listed below during?

	Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	Youth Involvement in Anti- Tobacco Advocacy	Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	1	20	2	0
Asian	0	0	1	10	1	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	1	10	1	0
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	18	490	53	0
African American	0	0	3	77	8	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	2	48	5	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	28	758	104	0

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

19. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered? For each program offered provide the number of students, identified and served, under this grant.

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	0	0
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	0	0
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	100	113
Tobacco-Free Generations	50	60

20. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of project and participating students.

Brief Intervention Teen Intervene served 96 students in 2013/14. Social skill development in a small group format with a trained counselor with targeted students at risk for tobacco use at the middle and high school levels served 200 students.

21. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

22. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the intervention programs listed below?

	Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	Project Life	Smokeless Schooldays Smokeless Saturday School	Tobacco- Free Generations
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	2
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	1
Hispanic/ Latino	0	0	0	0	31
African American	0	0	0	0	5
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	0	0	47

23. Comments pertaining to question 22 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

24. Which of the cessation services listed below were offered during the 2012/13 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students identified and served

Cessation Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	0	0
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	0	0
California Smoker's Helpline	100	100
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	35	36
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0

25. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified to be served and the number of students served in the space below. *(Please do not use acronyms)*

26. Comments pertaining to question 24 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

27. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the cessation services listed below?

	Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	California Smoker's Helpline	Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	2	1	0
Asian	0	0	0	1	1	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	1	1	0
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	0	51	12	0
African American	0	0	0	8	6	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	10	5	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	0	79	26	0

28. Comments pertaining to question 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

29. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served?

Category	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	60
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	60
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	30
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs	30

30. Comments pertaining to question 29 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

31. How many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the areas listed below?

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
Trained to deliver program curriculum	1	32
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	3	12
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	1	9
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	1	9
General TUPE information	1,700	1,000

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

32. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.

TUPE program site facilitators, district coordinator and TUPE program specialist attended a dozen webinars throughout the school year on tobacco.

33. Comments pertaining to question 31 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

34. How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2012/13 school year under this grant?

35. If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.

Parents participated in LCAP and TUPE program surveys

36. Comments pertaining to questions 34 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

37. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	No	No	No	No
Youth Development activities	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cessation activities	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Intervention activities	no	Yes	Yes	Yes
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

38. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

39. Comments pertaining to question 37 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 2:

Botvin's Life Skills Training is used in grandes 6-9, MSPP is grade 6, TND in Advisory at the continuation high school.

Comments regarding question 4:

Projected numbers based on projected enrollment, actual numbers are based on actual enrollment.

Comments regarding question 6:

Royers Studio was contracted to provide its Animate Your Tobacco Messages in 8 middle schools and high school sites in April and May 2014.

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 9:

Comments regarding question 14:

We provided two Teens Against Tobacco Use student workshops led by Ira Sachnoff and trained students in a level 1 and level 2 format as youth tobacco educators.

Comments regarding question 17:

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 19:

Comments regarding question 22:

Comments regarding question 24:

This year we added school site based cessation co-facilitators, and trained them all to use Project NOT next school year. We anticipate this will increase the number of students who agree to attend and complete on campus tobacco cessation.

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 27:

Comments regarding question 29:

Comments regarding question 31:

All HUSD staff participated in general TUPE information and surveying about the TUPE program in the preparation for the new TUPE application, and through the LCAP prioritization process in the 2013/14 school year.

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 34:

Comments regarding question 37:

We collaborate extensively with our local Drug Free Communities grantee, Hemet Community Action Network. We also wrote a tobacco control grant with them that was funded for the next two years through the California Public Health Department.