

# 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

## 1. Contact Information

Name: Valerie Valez

LEA Name: Hemet Unified

Cohort: E                      Year: 2012/13

County: Riverside              Phone: 951-765-5100

Email: vvelez@hemetusd.k12.ca.us

## 2. Which of the research-validated or evidence-based programs listed below were implemented?

Botvin's Life Skills Training	Yes
Keepin' It Real	No
Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program	Yes
Project Alert	No
Project Northland	No
Project SUCCESS	No
Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)	No
Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)	No
SPORT	No
State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program	No

## 3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

# 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

4. How many students per grade participated in the program/s identified in question 2?

Grade	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Grade 6	1,407	1,407
Grade 7	1,350	1,350
Grade 8	1,392	1,392
Grade 9	1,568	1,568
Grade 10		
Grade 11		
Grade 12		
Non Traditional		
Grade Total	5,717	5,717

5. Comments pertaining to question 4 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

6. Which of the non-research-validated curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	0
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	0

7. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.

---

District-designed tobacco education classroom presentations served 416 middle school and almost 1500 high school students

## 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

8. Comments pertaining to question 6 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

9. Which of the non-curricula activities (e.g., Teens Kick Butts, Teens Kick Ash, poster contests etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Great American Smoke Out	1,523	1,523
Red Ribbon Week (Tobacco focus)	6,091	6,091
Teens Kick Butts / Ash	500	500
Schoolwide contests	500	300
Tobacco & Hollywood Campaign	0	0
Mission Possible	0	0
Youth Quest	0	0
Assembly Speaker	1,500	1,320

10. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

---

11. If you selected *School-wide contest* as a non-curricular activity, indicate the name of the contest:

---

Riverside County Drug Use Prevention Education poster contest (included tobacco focus)

## 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

12. If you selected *Assembly Speaker* as a non-curricula activity, indicate the name of the speaker:

---

Teens Against Tobacco Use cross age assemblies

13. Comments pertaining to question 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

14. Which TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities (e.g., STAKE Act “stings”, local community tobacco control ordinance development/enforcement, media literacy, media production to counter tobacco industry influence) were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Actual Students
Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	20	0
Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	0	0
Youth Involvement in Anti-tobacco Advocacy	250	250
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	0	0
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	30	24
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	0	0

15. If a TUPE Specific Youth Development activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.
-

# 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

16. Comments pertaining to question 14 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

17. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the youth development strategies listed below during?

	Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	Youth Involvement in Anti- Tobacco Advocacy	Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	3	0	1	0
Asian	0	0	3	0	1	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	6	0	1	0
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	128	0	13	0
African American	0	0	20	0	4	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	13	0	3	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	208	0	20	0

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

19. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered? For each program offered provide the number of students, identified and served, under this grant.

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	0	0
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	0	0
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	180	180
Tobacco-Free Generations	0	0

20. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of project and participating students.

---

Project Ex Teens Intervene

21. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

22. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the intervention programs listed below?

	Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	Project Life	Smokeless Schooldays Smokeless Saturday School	Tobacco- Free Generations
American Indian or Alaska Native				2	
Asian				2	
Pacific Islander				4	
Hispanic/ Latino				92	
African American				14	
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning				9	
Low Socio Economic Status				150	

23. Comments pertaining to question 22 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

24. Which of the cessation services listed below were offered during the 2012/13 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students identified and served

Cessation Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	0	0
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	60	41
California Smoker's Helpline	236	236
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	25	12
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0

25. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified to be served and the number of students served in the space below. *(Please do not use acronyms)*

26. Comments pertaining to question 24 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

27. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the cessation services listed below?

	Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	California Smoker's Helpline	Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	1	3	1	0
Asian	0	0	1	3	1	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	1	6	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	21	120	6	0
African American	0	0	3	19	2	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	3	12	2	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	34	196	10	0

28. Comments pertaining to question 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

29. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served?

Category	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	148
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	148
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	98
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs	50

30. Comments pertaining to question 29 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

31. How many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the areas listed below?

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
Trained to deliver program curriculum	2	22
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	4	25
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	1	5
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	1	3
General TUPE information	2	20

## 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

32. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.
- 

33. Comments pertaining to question 31 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

34. How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2012/13 school year under this grant?

20,673

35. If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.

---

California Health Kids Survey data workshop at DELAC, DAC and School Site Council meetings, and through the district Wellness Committee.

36. Comments pertaining to questions 34 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

37. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	Yes	No	No	No
Youth Development activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cessation activities	Yes	Yes	No	No
Intervention activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

38. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

---

Hemet Restore Our Community Strategy Community Advisory Council worked on tobacco-related ordinance development and passage with Hemet City Council.

39. Comments pertaining to question 37 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

# 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 2:

---

Comments regarding question 4:

---

Comments regarding question 6:

---

# 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 9:

---

Comments regarding question 14:

---

Comments regarding question 17:

---

# 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 19:

---

We were able to integrate our Smokeless Saturday School program with the district's mandated Saturday Schools to reach more students. Project Ex was implemented for the first time in our district at our continuation school after we received training from the developer Steve Sussman, at USC.

Comments regarding question 22:

Comments regarding question 24:

# 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 27:

---

This was our first year using Project Ex at the continuation high school, and we tried doing it after the school day. Next year we have permission from the principal to embed it in the school day, and we are certain the numbers of participants will increase.

Comments regarding question 29:

---

Comments regarding question 31:

# 2012/13 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 34:

---

The annual enrollment packet which every household receives included a brochure developed by the district TUPE program with tobacco education information, resources, California Healthy Kids Survey results and cessation information.

Comments regarding question 37:

---