

# 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

## 1. Contact Information

Name: Melissa Germann

LEA Name: Glendora Unified School District

Cohort: E      Year: 2011/12

County: Los Angeles      Phone: 626-963-1611

Email: mgermann@glendora.k12.ca.us

## 2. Which of the programs listed below were implemented under this grant during the 2011/12 year?

Botvin's Life Skills Training

Keepin' It Real

Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program

Project Alert

Project Northland

Project SUCCESS

Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)

Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)

SPORT

State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program

## 3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

# 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

4. How many students  
2011/12

identified in question 2 during the

		Number of Participating Students
Grade 6	0	0
Grade 7	0	0
Grade 8	0	0
Grade 9	0	0
Grade 10	0	0
Grade 11	0	0
Grade 12	0	0
Non Traditional	80	80
Grade Total	80	80

5. Comments pertaining to question 4 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

6. Which of the non-research-validated curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2011/12 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	0
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	0

7. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.

---

Too Good For Drugs was used with all 80 students. Next year, we will use Toward no tobacco use with all students.

## 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

8. Comments pertaining to question 6 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

9. Which of the non-curricula activities (e.g., Teens Kick Butts, Teens Kick Ash, poster contests etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2011/12 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Assembly Speaker	80	80
Great American Smoke Out	0	0
Mission Possible	0	0
Red Ribbon Week (Tobacco focus)	80	80
Schoolwide contests	80	16
Teens Kick Butts / Ash	0	0
Tobacco & Hollywood Campaign	0	0
Youth Quest	0	0

10. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

---

Not applicable

11. If you selected *School-wide contest* as a non-curricular activity, indicate the name of the contest:

---

During the month of May, all students at Whitcomb were invited to produce videos with an anti-tobacco theme that will be available at the elementary schools for viewing next year. Products were also sent to the Los Angeles County office of Education. A poster contest for "healthy living" and tobacco-free was conducted in October 2011.

## 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

12. If you selected *Assembly Speaker* as a non-curricula activity, indicate the name of the speaker:

---

Touchstones Adolescent Facility sent three minors to talk about addictions.

13. Comments pertaining to question 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

14. Which TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities (e.g., STAKE Act “stings”, local community tobacco control ordinance development/enforcement, media literacy, media production to counter tobacco industry influence) were offered to students in the general population during the 2011/12 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Actual Students
Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	0	0
Youth Involvement in Anti-tobacco Advocacy	0	0
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	11	11
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	0	0
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	80	18

15. If a TUPE Specific Youth Development activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

---

We also ran a Teen Summit in March that addressed ways to live healthy lives and build healthy habits.

## 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

16. Comments pertaining to question 14 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

17. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the youth development strategies listed below during the 2011/12 school year under this grant?

	Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	Anti- Tobacco Advocacy	Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	Peer Educator	Service- Learning Projects
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	1	0	0
Hispanic/ Latino	0	0	6	0	0
African American	0	0	1	0	0
White (non Hispanic)	0	0	3	0	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	7	0	0

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

19. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered during the 2011/12 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students, identified and served, under this grant.

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	0	0
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	15	15
Residential Student Assistance Program	0	0
Spit Tobacco Intervention	0	0
Tobacco-Free Generations	0	0

20. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of project and participating students.
- 

21. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

22. Please indicate which Cessation Services were implemented. For each program offered provide the number of identified and served tobacco-using students.

Program/Strategy Name	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation - Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Stuff: A Guide to Quitting for Smokeless Tobacco	0	0
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	0	0
California Smoker's Helpline	0	0
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	0	0
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0

23. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified and the number of students served.

---

I Decide curriculum was used with the 15 students who joined the cessation support group that met every Tuesday on campus during school hours. 18 students voluntarily joined this group throughout the school year.

24. Comments pertaining to question 22 are located in the appendix. (Optional)



## 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

25. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served during the 2011/12 school year under this grant?

Category	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	1
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	1
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	1
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs	1

26. Comments pertaining to question 25 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

27. How many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the areas listed in the table below?

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
Trained to deliver program curriculum	0	2
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	0	5
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	0	1
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	0	1
General TUPE information	0	10

## 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

28. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.

---

Not applicable

29. Comments pertaining to question 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

30. How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2011/12 school year under this grant?

80
----

31. If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.

---

Parent Summit was held on April 27 and topics covered were: Drug/alcohol Abuse, Truth about marijuana, family communication and Family Conflict.

32. Comments pertaining to questions 30 and 31 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

## 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

33. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	Yes	No	Yes	No
Youth Development activities	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Cessation activities	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Intervention activities	Yes	No	Yes	No
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	No	No	No	No

34. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

---

Not applicable

35. Comments pertaining to question 33 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

# 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 2:

At Whitcomb High School, we used Too Good for Drugs with every student, TEG with smokers, and I Decide curriculum with those students who joined the cessation support group. Next year, we will be using Toward No Tobacco Use with all students.

Comments regarding question 4:

All students received Too Good For Drugs lessons. All smoking students received TEG lessons. Students who wished to quit smoking attended cessation group once a week with a cessation counselor who did I Decide curriculum.

Comments regarding question 6:

None

# 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 9:

None

Comments regarding question 14:

Students produced Public Service Announcements with an anti-tobacco focus. Those PSA's will be available to elementary schools next year.

Comments regarding question 17:

Data not collected for Gay/lesbian/transgender or questioning.

# 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 19:

Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users is always used with any student violating the smoking or tobacco policies at Whitcomb High School.

Comments regarding question 22:

None

# 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 25:

None

Comments regarding question 27:

None

# 2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 30 and 31:

None

Comments regarding question 33:

None