

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

1. Contact Information

Name: Rickey Jones

LEA Name: Fremont Unified School District

Cohort: E Year: 2011/12

County: Alameda Phone: 510 659 2534

Email: rickeyjones@fremont.k12.ca.us

2. Which of the programs listed below were implemented under this grant during the 2011/12 year?

Botvin's Life Skills Training

Keepin' It Real

Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program

Project Alert Yes

Project Northland

Project SUCCESS

Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse) Yes

Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)

SPORT

State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program

3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

4. How many
2011/12 students

identified in question 2 during the

		Number of Participating Students
Grade 6	0	0
Grade 7	2,293	4,825
Grade 8	2,419	1,800
Grade 9	2,507	7,500
Grade 10	2,656	1,510
Grade 11	2,486	2,100
Grade 12	2,442	2,050
Non Traditional	17,370	22,285
Grade Total	32,173	42,070

5. Comments pertaining to question 4 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

6. Which of the non-research-validated curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2011/12 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	0
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	110

7. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.

We had 2,500 of 2,567 6th graders that participated in the Too Good for Drugs curriculum.

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

8. Comments pertaining to question 6 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

9. Which of the non-curricula activities (e.g., Teens Kick Butts, Teens Kick Ash, poster contests etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2011/12 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Great American Smoke Out	15,600	21,985
Red Ribbon Week (Tobacco focus)	15,000	21,000
Teens Kick Butts / Ash	0	0
Schoolwide contests	0	0
Tobacco & Hollywood Campaign	0	0
Mission Possible	0	0
Youth Quest	0	0
Assembly Speaker	0	0

10. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

Former Harlem Globetrotter Spencer "Spinny" Johnson blended basketball stunts with positive healthy, tobacco-free message to 2,390 out of 2,416 5th grade students. Although this was not funded by the grant, it is an anti-tobacco program we offer our elementary students. In addition, we had a presenter to facilitate training to approximately 250 students based on "Pack It Up".

11. If you selected *School-wide contest* as a non-curricular activity, indicate the name of the contest:

Not applicable

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

12. If you selected *Assembly Speaker* as a non-curricula activity, indicate the name of the speaker:

Not applicable

13. Comments pertaining to question 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

14. Which TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities (e.g., STAKE Act “stings”, local community tobacco control ordinance development/enforcement, media literacy, media production to counter tobacco industry influence) were offered to students in the general population during the 2011/12 school year?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Actual Students
Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	0	0
Youth Involvement in Anti-tobacco Advocacy	0	0
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	250	205
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	345	301
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	10,185	8,210

15. If a TUPE Specific Youth Development activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

Not applicable

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

16. Comments pertaining to question 14 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

17. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the youth development strategies listed below during the 2011/12 school year under this grant?

	Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	Anti- Tobacco Advocacy	Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	Peer Educator	Service- Learning Projects
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	48	32
Asian	0	0	148	6,215	6,301
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	145	42
Hispanic/ Latino	0	0	25	2,105	897
African American	0	0	5	605	291
White (non Hispanic)	0	0	27	2,896	156
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	0	1,502	1,234

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

19. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered during the 2011/12 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students, identified and served, under this grant.

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	145	2
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	0	0
Residential Student Assistance Program	0	0
Spit Tobacco Intervention	0	0
Tobacco-Free Generations	0	0

20. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of project and participating students.
-

21. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

22. Please indicate which Cessation Services were implemented. For each program offered provide the number of identified and served tobacco-using students.

Program/Strategy Name	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation - Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Stuff: A Guide to Quitting for Smokeless Tobacco	0	0
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	250	115
California Smoker's Helpline	0	0
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	0	0
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0

23. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified and the number of students served.

Not applicable

24. Comments pertaining to question 22 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

25. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served during the 2011/12 school year under this grant?

Category	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	31
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	31
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	31
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs	0

26. Comments pertaining to question 25 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

27. How many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the areas listed in the table below?

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
Trained to deliver program curriculum	3	11
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	3	11
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	0	2
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	0	2
General TUPE information	3	50

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

28. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.

Not applicable

29. Comments pertaining to question 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

30. How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2011/12 school year under this grant?

260

31. If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.

Other training was not provided.

32. Comments pertaining to questions 30 and 31 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

33. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Youth Development activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cessation activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Intervention activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

34. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

Not applicable

35. Comments pertaining to question 33 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 2:

None

Comments regarding question 4:

None

Comments regarding question 6:

None

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 9:

None

Comments regarding question 14:

None

Comments regarding question 17:

None

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 19:

Although a number students were identified, not many attended the smokeless saturday school. More effort will be used as an attempt to increase the number of students that attend the smokeless saturday school next year.

Comments regarding question 22:

The curriculum was used in our "Quit Groups" as well as our Smokeless Saturday meetings. Again, more effort will be used next year to increase the number of attendance at our Smokeless Saturday meetings.

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 25:

None

Comments regarding question 27:

None

2011/12 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 30 and 31:

None

Comments regarding question 33:

None