

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

1. Contact Information

Name: Tami Silvera

LEA Name: Elk Grove Unified

Cohort: E Year: 2013/14

County: Sacramento Phone: 916-686-7568

Email: tsilvera@egusd.net

2. Which of the research-validated or evidence-based programs listed below were implemented?

Botvin's Life Skills Training	No
Keepin' It Real	No
Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program	No
Project Alert	Yes
Project Northland	No
Project SUCCESS	Yes
Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)	No
Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)	No
SPORT	No
State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program	No

3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

4. How many students per grade participated in the program/s identified in question 2?

Grade	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Grade 6	0	0
Grade 7	4,858	4,324
Grade 8	5,046	4,390
Grade 9	0	0
Grade 10	0	0
Grade 11	0	0
Grade 12	0	0
Non Traditional	446	418
Grade Total	10,350	9,132

5. Comments pertaining to question 4 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

6. Which of the non-research-validated curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Participating Students
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	0
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	4,641
Teens Tackle Tobacco	346

7. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.
-

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

8. Comments pertaining to question 6 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

9. Which of the non-curricula activities (e.g., Teens Kick Butts, Teens Kick Ash, poster contests etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Participating Students
Great American Smoke Out	0	0
Red Ribbon Week (Tobacco focus)	29,141	27,684
Teens Kick Butts / Ash	0	0
School-Wide contest	1,000	885
Tobacco & Hollywood Campaign	0	0
Mission Possible	0	0
Youth Quest	20	15
Assembly Speaker	600	3,144

10. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

11. If you selected *School-wide contest* as a non-curricular activity, indicate the name of the contest:

Kaiser Permanente's Don't Buy the Lie Campaign was implemented in 7th -12th grades in EGUSD.

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

12. If you selected *Assembly Speaker* as a non-curricula activity, indicate the name of the speaker:

Phillip Barna, Phillip Benadum, Victor DeNoble, and Justin Boudreau

13. Comments pertaining to question 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

14. Which TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities (e.g., STAKE Act “stings”, local community tobacco control ordinance development/enforcement, media literacy, media production to counter tobacco industry influence) were offered to students in the general population?

Program/Strategy Name	Number of Projected Students	Number of Actual Students
Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	0	0
Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	0	0
Youth Involvement in Anti-tobacco Advocacy	1,000	2,200
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	1,000	1,950
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	500	525
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	0	0

15. If a TUPE Specific Youth Development activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.
-

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

16. Comments pertaining to question 14 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

17. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the youth development strategies listed below during?

	Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	Youth Involvement in Anti- Tobacco Advocacy	Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	176	156	42	0
Asian	0	0	506	448	121	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	44	39	10	0
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	572	507	137	0
African American	0	0	96	351	95	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	1166	1034	278	0

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

19. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered? For each program offered provide the number of students, identified and served, under this grant.

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	0	0
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	0	0
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	0	0
Tobacco-Free Generations	0	0

20. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of project and participating students.

Brief Intervention model is used with students in 7-12 grades. In 2013-14 TUPE staff met with 267 middle and high school students using the Brief Intervention model that we locally call “teen Intervene”. According to student self reporting, 77% (206) of these students had used tobacco.

21. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

22. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the intervention programs listed below?

	Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	Project Life	Smokeless Schooldays Smokeless Saturday School	Tobacco- Free Generations
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/ Latino	0	0	0	0	0
African American	0	0	0	0	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	0	0	0

23. Comments pertaining to question 22 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

24. Which of the cessation services listed below were offered during the 2012/13 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students identified and served

Cessation Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	0	0
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	0	0
California Smoker's Helpline	0	0
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	0	0
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0

25. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified to be served and the number of students served in the space below. *(Please do not use acronyms)*

EGUSD worked to provide cessation services through community counseling agencies. One of our longstanding partners, Panacea Counseling Services closed their offices which left a gap in services. We are establishing new relationships with community service providers and hope to have a number of schools on board for the 2014-2015 school year.

26. Comments pertaining to question 24 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

27. How many students from the following priority populations participated in one or more of the cessation services listed below?

	Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	California Smoker's Helpline	Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	0	0	0	0
African American	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, & Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	0
Low Socio Economic Status	0	0	0	0	0	0

28. Comments pertaining to question 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

29. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served?

Category	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	104
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	104
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	104
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs	104

30. Comments pertaining to question 29 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

31. How many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the areas listed below?

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
Trained to deliver program curriculum	0	66
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	4	18
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	10	45
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	0	0
General TUPE information	20	100

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

32. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.
-

33. Comments pertaining to question 31 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

34. How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2012/13 school year under this grant?

10,000

35. If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.
-

36. Comments pertaining to questions 34 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

37. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	No	No	No	No
Youth Development activities	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Cessation activities	No	No	No	Yes
Intervention activities	No	no	No	Yes
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

38. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

EGUSD TUPE staff hosted a Brief Intervention program training in partnership with the Center for Applied Research Solutions (CARS).

39. Comments pertaining to question 37 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 2:

Comments regarding question 4:

Comments regarding question 6:

All 9th grade students in the EGUSD are required to take a semester of Health. This class includes specific lessons on tobacco, alcohol and other drugs, decision making, life skills, asset development and more. The TUPE staff work closely with the Health teachers to provide supplemental materials to enhance the tobacco specific education that is provided.

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 9:

Many schools in the EGUSD conduct Red Ribbon Week activities. The numbers provided are an estimate of the participation level as we do not specifically track data for RRW.

Comments regarding question 14:

Comments regarding question 17:

The data above is based on district wide ethnicity and Low SES percentages. We are prohibited from asking students about their sexual preference.

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 19:

The Brief Intervention Model has proved immensely successful in EGUSD. Students are identified through a direct referral or by home suspension for tobacco or other drug violations. 1-2 times per month the TUPE Coordinator pulls down a report of all students that have had a home suspension for substance use or possession violation. These students receive a 2-3 session intervention at school during normal school hours. Administrators have given their approval for students to miss class in order to receive this intervention and some schools have begun to use the intervention as a way for a student to reduce their suspension. In years past when EGUSD TUPE staff attempted to coordinate programs like TEG or other multi-session support groups out of school hours we had very poor participation. With the Brief Intervention model we are able to intervene with a much greater number of students and the school administration and counselors are very supportive. Our number of direct referrals is on the rise as well.

Comments regarding question 22:

Demographic analysis of students receiving Brief Intervention: African American - 22% American Indian - 7% Asian - 11% Hispanic - 19% Multiple Races - 6% Pacific Islander - 1% White - 13% Low SES (qualify for free or reduced price lunch) - 61.6% Foster Youth - 5%

Comments regarding question 24:

We provide CA Smoker's Helpline information to students, staff and families in a variety of methods. The Gold Cards are included in our "tobacco quit-kits"; the website and phone number are listed in our internal cessation resources brochure, the CSH information is on our website and our schools have the information in the counseling offices. We do not have a way to determine how often the resource is used.

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 27:

Comments regarding question 29:

Comments regarding question 31:

2013/14 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding question 34:

It is difficult to estimate how many parents are exposed to TUPE information. Many of our secondary schools sent home bulletins this year about electronic cigarettes, hookah pens and vape pens and the change in district policy. This information went out globally to all secondary student's parents. Information about TUPE is included in student handbooks, orientation packets and is also posted on school websites. The district has over 62,000 students so it is difficult to extrapolate that figure.

Comments regarding question 37:
