

2014/15 Statewide Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Cohort I Grant Online Annual Report

Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (TUPE) funds support health education efforts aimed at the prevention and reduction of tobacco use. The TUPE program provides funding for programs in grades six through twelve through a competitive application process. Funds are awarded to local educational agencies that propose to replicate evidence-based, effective programs. TUPE grantees must implement instructional, youth development, intervention and cessation activities for students. Grantees may also implement supplemental activities and special events that reinforce the required program.

All local education agencies certified as having a fully implemented tobacco-free school district board policy are eligible to apply for funding. Programs are locally developed, but are expected to align with the Master Plan of the Tobacco Education and Research Oversight Committee, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC's) Guidelines for School Health Programs to Prevent Tobacco Use and Addiction, and the recommended California guidelines for tobacco prevention in Getting Results.^

The purpose of the TUPE program is to reduce youth tobacco use by helping young people make healthful tobacco-related decisions. Students receive research-validated tobacco use prevention instruction and activities that build knowledge as well as social skills. Students take part in youth development strategies that involve youth in advocating for a tobacco-free California and becoming tobacco prevention leaders. Collaboration with community-based tobacco control programs is an integral part of program planning. The school, parents, and the larger community must be involved in the program so that students will be aware of a cohesive effort and concern for their health and, consequently, their ability to succeed in school.

Cohort I Competitive TUPE Grantees, funded from 2014-15, are required to complete an annual online survey, in addition to other reporting requirements. Via the online survey grantees provided information about delivering instruction to the general population, youth development, intervention activities, cessation programs, services to targeted populations, staff development, parent training, and community involvement. The aggregated results of the 17 funded grantees are displayed in the tables that follow. The list of the participating grantees is located in Appendix A.

^Learn more about Getting Results at www.gettingresults.org

Executive Summary

Listed below are highlights of the Annual Report information submitted by the TUPE Grantees:

- The most often used research-validated/evidence based programs are *Project Towards No Drug Abuse* (16), and *Project ALERT* (15). One grantee indicated they implemented one research-validated/evidence based programs, 16 grantees implemented two or more programs listed on the Annual Report approved program list. (See Table 1) The majority of students (74%) that received a research-validated/evidence based program were in the 7, 8 or 9th grade. (See Table 2) The most common non-research based validated programs employed by grantees was *Teens Tackle Tobacco* (74% of students). (See Table 3)
- The most popular non-curricula activities or strategies offered were *Red Ribbon Week* (43% of students), followed by *Teens Kick Butts/Ash* (16%). (See Table 4)
- Most popular youth development activities were *Youth Involvement Anti-Tobacco Advocacy* (35%) followed by *Tobacco Use Prevention Peer Educator* (27% of students) (See Table 5)
- Of the 3,772 students who were involved in intervention programs; 33 percent were involved in *Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School* and 34 percent were involved in *Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG).* (See Table 7)
- Of the approximately 3,115 students that were involved in cessation programs, most utilized the *California Smokers' Helpline* (53%). (See Table 9)
- Parenting or pregnant minors were identified (2,374) and 36 percent were served. Five grantees offered both school-based and community programs. One grantee provided only a community program and six grantees offered neither a school nor community based program. (See Table 11)
- Sixty percent of classified staff and 75 percent of certificated staff were trained in general TUPE information. (See Table 12)
- Almost 88,000 parents received general TUPE information. (See Table 13)
- Grantees mostly collaborated with local educational agencies and Public Health Departments on youth development activities. Other grantees worked with local government and non-governmental agencies on tobacco control planning issues. (See Table 14)
- Table 15 lists each type of program (researched validated, non-research validated, non-curricula activities, etc.) and the number of participating students.

General Population Instruction

Table 1 Research-Validated/Evidence Based Programs from the Approved Program List

Number that selected each program	Research-Validated/Evidence Based Programs
3	Botvin's Life Skills Training
1	Keepin' It Real
4	Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program
15	Project ALERT
1	Project Northland
0	Project SUCCESS (Schools Using Coordinated Community Efforts to Strengthen Students)
16	Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)
3	Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)
0	SPORTS
0	State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program
0	No Program Selected

Please see Appendix A for a list of grantees that selected the above listed programs

Note: Reference question 2 of the Annual Report

Table 2 Number of Projected Students and Number of Students Actually Participating in Research-Validated/Evidenced Based Programs (by grade)

Grade	Number of projected students	Number of actual students
6	15,721	14,534
7	26,376	24,760
8	20,711	18,136
9	26,371	21,547
10	3,891	2,761
11	3,317	2,152
12	3,273	2,318
Non- Traditional	1,704	1,164
Total:	101,364	87,372

Note: Reference question 4 of the Annual Report

Table 3 Number of Projected Students and Number of Students Actually Participating in Non-Research Validated Programs, Activities or Strategies

Non-Research-Validated curriculum based programs (e.g., local educational agency-adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.)

Program/Strategy Name

Number of grantees selecting each program or strategy

Teens Tackle Tobacco

4

3,250

Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz

2

905

2

1

13

162

4,392

Guiding Good Choices – Families that Care	1	62
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0	0
Decisions for Health Series	0	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0	0
Health and Wellness Series	0	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0	0
Stay On Track Series	0	0
Teen Health Course Series	0	0

Note: Reference question 6 of the Annual Report

Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)

Enough Snuff

Table 4 Number of Projected Students and Number of Students Actually Participating in Non-Curricula Activities or Strategies

Non-Curricula Activities					
Program/Strategy Name	Number of grantees selecting each program or strategy	Number of students projected to participate in the program/activity	Actual number of students participating		
Tobacco & Hollywood Campaign	1	300	0		
Mission Possible	0	0	0		
Youth Quest	2	56	175		
World No Tobacco Day	6	29,034	18,193		
School-Wide Contest	11	72,947	36,116		
Assembly Speaker	11	48,292	38,887		
Great American Smoke Out	11	50,677	44,858		
Teens Kick Butts/Ash	8	55,888	52,629		
Red Ribbon Week (Tobacco focus)	15	141,903	145,126		
Total:		399,097	335,984		
If a non-curricula activity not listed in the list al along with the number of projected and participation.		ntees specify the name	of the activity		
Mural – Middle School Mural Project	2	100	123		
Kick Butts Day Activities	1	6,731	6,731		
Town hall events: S.O.S Students Offering Solutions, All about Vapes	1	50	61		
Anti Tobacco Pledge – Anti smoking pledge drive	1	500	750		
Save a Sweet Heart	1	400	645		
Tobacco Facts Game	1	200	420		
Breakthrough Program (Student Assistant Program) for Pregnant Minor Parenting Program	1	256	256		
Essay Contest	1	150	780		
Lunchtime Activities: Tobacco education activities sponsored by Dispatch Magazine	1	-	1636		
Friday Night Live/Club Live/Friday Night Live Youth Conference	1	-	64		
Teens Kick Ash Youth Conference	1	-	48		
Chalk Art Festival – Anti-Tobacco related art	1	-	21		
Total:		8,387	11,535		

Note: Reference question 9 of the Annual Report

[~] Grantee unable to provide number

Table 5 Number of Projected Students and Number of Students Actually Participating in TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities

TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities (e.g., STAKE Act "stings", local community tobacco control ordinance development/enforcement, media literacy, media production to counter tobacco industry influence.)

milituence.)			
Program/Strategy Name	Number of grantees selecting each program or strategy	Number of students projected to participate in the program/activity	Actual number of students participating
Youth Involvement Anti-tobacco Advocacy	13	27,1373	22,318
Tobacco Use Prevention Peer Educator	12	8,289	17,203
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	11	4,807	8,611
Tobacco use prevention focused Service- Learning Projects	6	10,954	14,761
Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	5	315	224
Other law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors	2	29	44
Total:		51,567	63,161
If a TUPE Specific Youth Development activity the name of the activity along with the number			grantee provided
Youth Conference (She's All That)	1	700	940
Project U (LGBT) Student Summit	1	200	273
Health Surveys	1	200	456
Youth Advisory Group: Unified Neighbors Involving Today's Youth	2	15	36
Relay for Life	1	50	25
Tobacco Advocacy Initiative	1	100	148
Responsibility Educated Adolescent Leaders	1	80	72
Youth Development Meetings	1	75	45
Something Odd Campaign	1	30	10
Friday Night Life	1	-	1,196
PLUS Leadership	1	-	1,000
Leadership Conferences: Truth About Tobacco, Friend to Friend Conference, California Activities Directors Association Student Leadership Conference	1	-	1,150
Lifesaver	1	-	33
Total:		1,450	5,384

Note: Reference question 14 of the Annual Report

[—] Grantee unable to provide number

Table 6
Priority Population of Students
Participating in Youth Development Strategies

Priority Populations	Youth Involvement in Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act	Other Law Enforcement Sting Operations to Monitor Tobacco Sales to Minors	Youth Involvement in Anti- Tobacco Advocacy	Media Literacy & Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	Tobacco use prevention focused Service- Learning Projects
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0	114	31	28	18
Asian	10	0	1,617	352	320	180
Pacific Islander	4	0	378	94	80	71
Hispanic/Latino	162	3	10,195	3,378	2,129	1,102
African American	9	1	1,086	255	258	181

Note: Reference question 17 of the Annual Report

Intervention & Cessation Programs

Table 7 Number of Identified and Served Tobacco-Using Students Who Participated in Intervention Programs or Strategies

Program/Strategy Name	Number of grantees selecting each program or strategy	Number of tobacco-using students identified:	Number of tobacco-using students served:
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	8	194	1,228
Brief Intervention	5	1,242	1,102
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	3	1,536	1,303
Tobacco-Free Generations	3	60	36
Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	2	113	103
Project Life	0	0	0
Total:		3,145	3,772
If an intervention program not listed in the list a along with the number of projected and particip		antees specify the nan	ne of the activity
Breakthrough Program (Student Assistance Program) for tobacco related issues	1	-	256
Information Sessions on Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users program	1	-	11
Basic Skills Intervention Training – 5 A's	1	52	23
Total:		52	290

Note: Reference question 19 of the Annual Report

Table 8 Priority Population of Students Participated in Intervention Programs or Strategies

Priority Populations	Brief Intervention	Craving Identification and Management (CM)	Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	Project Life	Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	Tobacco-Free Generations
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	3	0	13	0	127
Asian	17	68	0	113	1	7
Pacific Islander	6	31	0	41	0	221
Hispanic/Latino	289	182	0	517	22	44
African American	37	125	0	400	1	474

Note: Reference question 22 of the Annual Report

Table 9 Number of Identified and Served Tobacco-Using Students Who Participated in Cessation Programs or Strategies

Program/Strategy Name	Number of grantees selecting each program or strategy	Number of tobacco-using students identified:	Number of tobacco-using students served :
California Smokers' Helpline	9	1,512	1,659
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	7	769	769
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	1	572	572
Adolescent Smoking Cessation — Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	1	40	31
Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	2	13	11
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	2	81	73
Total:		2,987	3,115
If a cessation program not listed in the list abov with the number of projected and participating	f the activity along		
No grantees indicated additional cessation programs			
Total:			

Note: Reference question 24 of the Annual Report

Table 10 Priority Population of Students Participating in Cessation Strategies

Priority Populations	Adolescent Smoking Cessation Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	California Smoker's Helpline	Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	Project N-O-T (Not On Tobacco)
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0	10	16	6	0
Asian	1	0	30	143	84	2
Pacific Islander	0	0	8	16	32	0
Hispanic/Latino	19	1	443	894	108	2
African American	1	0	84	171	342	2

Note: Reference question 27 of the Annual Report

Services to Targeted Populations

Pregnant Minor/Minor Parent Services

 ${\bf Table~11} \\ {\bf Number~of~Parenting~and/or~Pregnant~Minors~Identified~and~Served}$

Parenting and/or Pregnant Minors	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified:	2,374
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served:	863
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served in school based programs:	841
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs:	68

Note: Reference question 28 of the Annual Report

Staff Development or Tobacco-use Prevention Training

Table 12 Number of Classified and Certificated Staff Receiving Training by Type of Training

Tuoining Tymo	Number of staff			
Training Type	Classified	Certificated		
Trained to deliver program curriculum	39	205		
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	97	302		
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	61	56		
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	29	20		
General TUPE information	345	1,705		
Total:	571	2,288		

Note: Reference question 29 of the Annual Report

Table 13 Number of Parents Receiving Training by Type of Training

Training Type	Number of parents receiving each type of training					
General TUPE information	84,587					
If parents received training other than general TUPE information, the grantee provided the type of trainings or information provided and the number of parents participating in each activity.						
Parenting for Smoking Prevention	397					
Parent Education and Awareness	2,743					
Total:	87,727					

Note: Reference questions 34 and 35 of the Annual Report

[~] Grantee unable to provide number

Table 14 Number and Types of Activities Offered by Grantees in Collaboration with Local Educational Agencies, Community Agencies, and Other Agencies

	Services provided by							
Activity Type	Educa	ocal ational ency	Local public health agency		Local governmental agency		Local non- governmental organization (NGO)	
Curriculum implementation	10	59%	6	35%	8	47%	7	41%
Youth Development activities	14	82%	14	82%	12	70%	14	82%
Cessation activities	8	47%	8	47%	3	18%	8	47%
Intervention activities	9	53%	10	59%	5	29%	11	65%
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	11	65%	17	100%	14	82%	15	88%

Note 1: Reference question 31 of the Annual Report Note 2: Grantees could select several options; percent columns will not add up to 100% Note 3: Percentage based on 16 grantees

Table 15 Number of Students Participating in Each Type of Program

Program Type	Number of participating Students		
Research-Validated/Evidenced Based Programs	87,372		
Non-Research-Validated Curriculum Based Programs	4,392		
Non-Curricula Activities or Strategies	335,984		
TUPE Specific Youth Development Activities	63,161		
Intervention Programs or Strategies	3,772		
Cessation Programs or Strategies	3,115		
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	863		
Duplicated number of participating students:*	498,659		

^{*}Students can participate in more than one program type.

Table A Appendix List of Grantees By County

Alameda County

Alameda County Office of Education Oakland Unified School District

Contra Costa County

San Ramon Valley Unified School District

Lake County

Konocti Unified School District

Los Angeles County

Glendale Unified School District

Los Angeles Unified School District

Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District

Riverside

Corona Norco Unified School District

San Bernardino County

Chino Valley Unified School District

San Joaquin County

Stockton Unified School District

Siskiyou County

Siskiyou County Office of Education

Tehama County

Tehama County Department of Education

Tulare County

Tulare County Office of Education

Ventura County

Conejo Valley Unified School District

Simi Valley Unified School District

Ventura Unified School District

Yuba County

Yuba County Office of Education

Table B Appendix List of Grantees Using Approved Programs

Botvin's Life Skills Training

San Ramon Valley School District Tehama County Department of Education Tulare County Office of Education

Keepin' It Real

Simi Valley Unified School District

Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program

Chino Valley Unified School District Conejo Valley Unified School District Siskiyou County Office of Education Ventura Unified School District

Project Alert

Alameda County Office of Education
Chino Valley Unified School District
Conejo Valley Unified School District
Corona-Norco Unified School District
Glendale Unified School District
Konocti Unified School District
Los Angeles Unified School District
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District
Oakland Unified School District
Simi Valley Unified School District
Siskiyou County Office of Education
Stockton Unified School District
Tehama County Office of Education
Ventura Unified School District
Yuba County Office of Education

Project Northland

Yuba County Office of Education

Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)

Alameda County Office of Education Chino Valley Unified School District Conejo Valley Unified School District Corona Norco Unified School District Glendale Unified School District Konocti Unified School District Los Angeles Unified School District Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District Oakland Unified School District Simi Valley Unified School District Siskiyou County Office of Education Stockton Unified School District Tehama County Department of Education Tulare County Office of Education Ventura Unified School District Yuba County Office of Education

Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Abuse)

Corona-Norco Unified School District Los Angeles Unified School District Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District